RUSSELL'S HYPERSURFACE FROM A GEOMETRIC POINT OF VIEW

ISAC HEDÉN

Abstract. We give a new proof of Makar-Limanov's theorem, which states that Russell's hypersurface is not isomorphic to affine three space.

arXiv:1405.4561v1 [math.AG] 18 May 2014

1. Introduction

Russell's hypersurface

$$X := \{(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{C}^4; x + x^2y + z^3 + t^2 = 0\} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^4,$$

is one of the most prominent examples of an exotic variety, i.e. it is diffeomorphic to affine three space [Ka05, Lemma 5.1, p.7], but not isomorphic to it. The latter is an immediate consequence of the following theorem, which states that there are not sufficiently many actions of the additive group $\mathbb{G}_a$ on X.

Theorem 1 (Makar-Limanov, [LML96])**.** *The projection* $\mathrm{pr}_1 : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $(x, y, z, t) \mapsto x$ *is* $\mathbb{G}_a$*-invariant with respect to any* $\mathbb{G}_a$*-action on* X*.*

A few years after Makar-Limanov proved this theorem, Kaliman proved using advanced birational geometry that morphisms $\mathbb{C}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with generic fiber $\mathbb{C}^2$ cannot have any other fibers [Ka02]. Since all the fibers of $\mathrm{pr}_1 : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are $\mathbb{C}^2$ except the zero fiber $\mathrm{pr}_1^{-1}(0)$, it follows that $X \ncong \mathbb{C}^3$. Makar-Limanov's proof is more elementary but rather algebraic-minded; the intention of this article is to explain the geometry behind his proof – we think that this is worthwhile since a well understood easy argument adds greater insight and may be applicable also in other situations.

An outline of our proof. In order to prove Theorem 1, we make use of an isomorphism $X \cong U \subset M$ with an open subset U of a blowup $\pi : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$, such that $D := M \setminus U$ is the strict transform of $0 \times \mathbb{C}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ and

$$X \cong U \subset M \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$$

is the map $(x, y, z, t) \mapsto (x, z, t)$. The key-result is then that $\mathcal{O}(M) \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ is $\mathbb{G}_a$-invariant for any $\mathbb{G}_a$-action on X. Since $\mathcal{O}(M) \cong \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3)$, this allows us to conclude that for any given $\mathbb{G}_a$-action on X, there is an induced $\mathbb{G}_a$-action on $\mathbb{C}^3$ which makes $\pi|_X : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ equivariant. Then $\pi(U)$ is obviously $\mathbb{G}_a$-invariant, and it follows that its interior $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^2$ is $\mathbb{G}_a$-invariant as well. Theorem 1 is obtained from this by remarking that any $\mathbb{G}_a$-action on $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^2$ leaves the first coordinate invariant: a nontrivial $\mathbb{G}_a$-orbit is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$, but there are no non-constant morphisms from $\mathbb{C}$ to $\mathbb{C}^*$.

Date: May 20, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14R05, 14R20.

This work was done as part of PhD studies at the Department of Mathematics, Uppsala University; the support from the Swedish graduate school in Mathematics and Computing (FMB) is gratefully acknowledged. Many thanks also go to Karl-Heinz Fieseler for his supervision.

2. Russell's hypersurface in a blowup of $\mathbb{C}^3$

We let $N \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 = \mathrm{Sp}(\mathbb{C}[z,t])$ denote the Neil parabola

$$N := \{(z,t) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid z^3 + t^2 = 0\},$$

and let $I := (g,h) \subset \mathbb{C}[x,z,t]$ denote the ideal which is generated by the two relatively prime polynomials $g(x,z,t) = x^2$ and $h(x,z,t) = x + z^3 + t^2$. The zero set of I is $0 \times N$, and the blowup

$$M := Bl_I(\mathbb{C}^3) \cong \{((x,z,t),[u:v]) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^1; h(x,z,t)u + g(x,z,t)v = 0\}$$

of $\mathbb{C}^3$ along I is a local hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with singular locus of codimension two: $S(M) = 0 \times N \times [0:1]$. In particular, M is a normal variety.

Remark 2.1. Russell's hypersurface is isomorphic to the open subset U of M given by $u \neq 0$, via the embedding $X \hookrightarrow M$, $(x,y,z,t) \mapsto ((x,z,t),[1:y])$.

We denote the complement of U in M by D. Note that D is then given by $u = 0$, and that the image of U under the blowup morphism is $\pi(U) = \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^2 \cup (0 \times N)$.

3. Additive actions on Russell's hypersurface

In order to see that $\mathcal{O}(M) \subset A := \mathcal{O}(X)$ is $\mathbb{G}_a$-invariant for every $\mathbb{G}_a$-action on X, we show the equivalent fact that $\mathcal{O}(M) \subset A$ is stable under every locally nilpotent derivation $\partial : A \longrightarrow A$. This obviously holds for the trivial $\mathbb{G}_a$-action on X, so we may assume that $\partial \neq 0$. The first step is to characterize $\mathcal{O}(M)$ in terms of a filtration on A.

Remark 3.1. With the filtration

$$A_{\leq n} := \mathcal{O}_{nD}(M) = \{f \in \mathbb{C}(M) \mid (f) \geq -nD\}$$

we have $A_{\leq 0} = \mathcal{O}(M) = \pi^*(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3))$, so $\pi^*(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^3))$ is stable with respect to a locally nilpotent derivation $\partial : A \longrightarrow A$ if and only if $\partial(A_{\leq 0}) \subseteq A_{\leq 0}$.

In order to understand the above filtration, we treat A as a subset of $\mathbb{C}(x,z,t)$ and note that multiplicities along D are simply multiplicities along $0 \times \mathbb{C}^2$; so x, y, z and t have multiplicities $1, -2, 0$ and 0 respectively. Now every element $f \in A \setminus \{0\}$ can be written in the form

$$f = \sum_{i=0}^{k} y^i p_i(x,z,t),$$

where each p_i is at most linear in x for $i \geq 1$ (and $p_k \neq 0$). Thus

$$A = \bigoplus_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} A_k$$

is a direct sum of free $\mathbb{C}[z,t]$-modules A_k of rank 1 defined as

$$A_k := \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}[z,t]x^{|k|} & , \quad \text{if } k \leq 0 \\ \mathbb{C}[z,t]y^{\ell} & , \quad \text{if } k = 2\ell > 0 \\ \mathbb{C}[z,t]xy^{\ell} & , \quad \text{if } k = 2\ell - 1 > 0 \end{cases}, \tag{1}$$

and one can check that

$$A_{\leq n} = \bigoplus_{k \leq n} A_k.$$

We thus obtain an explicit description of the associated graded algebra

$$B := \mathrm{Gr}(A) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} B_n \text{ with } B_n := A_{\leq n}/A_{\leq n-1}.$$

It is generated by the elements $\mathrm{gr}(x) \in B_{-1}, \mathrm{gr}(y) \in B_2, \mathrm{gr}(z), \mathrm{gr}(t) \in B_0$ and

$$W := \mathrm{Sp}(B) \cong \{(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{C}^4 \mid x^2y + z^3 + t^2 = 0\}.$$

Let $\ell = \ell(\partial) \in \mathbb{Z}$ be minimal with the property that $\partial(A_{\leq n}) \subset A_{\leq n+\ell}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$; the existance of such an ℓ follows from the fact that both $A_{\leq 0}$ and B are finitely generated graded algebras, and $\ell \neq -\infty$ since we consider a nontrivial $\mathbb{G}_a$-action. It follows that $\partial : A \longrightarrow A$ induces a nontrivial homogeneous locally nilpotent derivation on B of degree ℓ; we will denote it by δ. With this notation it is enough to show that $\ell \leq 0$ in order to obtain $\partial(A_{\geq 0}) \subseteq A_{\geq 0}$. In fact, more is true:

Proposition 3.2. *With B as above, any nontrivial locally nilpotent homogeneous derivation $\delta : B \longrightarrow B$ has degree $\ell < 0$.*

Before going into the proof, let us start with a discussion of the geometry of $W \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^4$ and prove the below Lemma 3.5. As a hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^4$, W is a normal variety since its singular set $S(W) = 0 \times \mathbb{C} \times 0 \times 0$ has codimension two. It admits two different group actions: the $\mathbb{G}_a$-action $(\tau, w) \mapsto \tau * w$ corresponding to the locally nilpotent derivation $\delta : B \longrightarrow B$; and the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action corresponding to the grading of B. The latter is given by

$$\mathbb{G}_m \times W \longrightarrow W,\ (\lambda, (x, y, z, t)) \mapsto (\lambda^{-1}x, \lambda^2 y, z, t),$$

and its quotient morphism is

$$p : W \to \mathbb{C}^2 \cong \mathrm{Sp}(\mathbb{C}[z, t]),\ (x, y, z, t) \mapsto (z, t).$$

It is trivial above $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus N$: the map

$$(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus N) \times \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{\sim} p^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus N),\ ((z, t), \lambda) \mapsto \left(\lambda^{-1}, -(z^3 + t^2)\lambda^2, z, t\right),$$

is a $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant isomorphism with inverse

$$p^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus N) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus N) \times \mathbb{G}_m, (x, y, z, t) \mapsto ((z, t), x^{-1}).$$

As for N, we have $p^{-1}(N) = F_- \cup F_+$, where F_- and F_+ are the subsets of $p^{-1}(N)$ given by $y = 0$ and $x = 0$ respectively.

Remark 3.3. The set F_- consists exactly of the points $w \in W$ for which $\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \lambda w$ exists, and F_+ consists exactly of the points $w \in W$ for which $\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \lambda w$ exists.

Remark 3.4. The above trivialization extends to a trivialization $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{\sim} W \setminus F_+$, but for $W \setminus F_-$ there is no such trivialization since the $\mathbb{G}_m$-isotropy group of a point in $F_+ \setminus F_-$ has order 2.

Now let us turn to the $\mathbb{G}_a$-action $\mathbb{G}_a \times W \longrightarrow W, (\tau, w) \mapsto \tau * w$, corresponding to $\delta : B \longrightarrow B$. Since δ is homogeneous of degree ℓ, it is normalized by the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action, i.e. for $w \in W$, $\tau \in \mathbb{G}_a$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{G}_m$, we have

$$(\lambda^{-\ell}\tau) * (\lambda w) = \lambda(\tau * w).$$

In particular this implies that λO is a $\mathbb{G}_a$-orbit for any $\mathbb{G}_a$-orbit O.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\delta : B \longrightarrow B$ be a nontrivial locally nilpotent derivation, homogeneous of degree ℓ. Then either*

(1) $\ell < 0$ and F_+ is $\mathbb{G}_a$-invariant, but F_- is not, or
(2) $\ell > 0$ and F_- is $\mathbb{G}_a$-invariant, but F_+ is not.

Proof. Since the locally nilpotent derivation $\delta : B \to B$ is homogeneous, its kernel

$$B^\delta := \{f \in B \mid \delta(f) = 0\} = \{f \in B \mid f(\tau * w) = f(w)\ \forall \tau \in \mathbb{G}_a\}$$

is as well:

$$B^\delta = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} B^\delta_n.$$

Given $f \in B_k \setminus \{0\}$, we have $\delta^\nu f \in B^\delta_{k+\nu\ell} \setminus \{0\}$ for a suitable $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that

(1) if $\ell = 0$, we have $B^\delta_n \neq \{0\}$ for all $n \neq 0$,
(2) if $\ell > 0$ we have $B^\delta_n \neq \{0\}$ for some $n > 0$,
(3) if $\ell < 0$ we have $B^\delta_n \neq \{0\}$ for some $n < 0$.

First assume that $\ell > 0$, so that $B^\delta_n \neq 0$ for some n, and let $f \in B^\delta_n \setminus \{0\}$. Then f vanishes on F_- since $f(\lambda x) = \lambda^n f(x)$ and since $\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \lambda x$ exists in W for $x \in F_-$. It follows that F_- is $\mathbb{G}_a$-invariant since it is an irreducible subvariety of the $\mathbb{G}_a$-invariant set $V(f) \subset W$ of dimension two. If $\ell < 0$, it follows analogously that $F_+ \subset W$ is $\mathbb{G}_a$-invariant. It remains to show that ℓ cannot be zero.

If $\ell = 0$, both F_- and F_+ are invariant. So $p^{-1}(N)$ is $\mathbb{G}_a$-invariant and $W \setminus p^{-1}(N)$ as well. Then for any nontrivial $\mathbb{G}_a$-orbit $O \subset W \setminus p^{-1}(N)$ the map $(z^3 + t^2) \circ p|_O$ has no zeros, and thus must be constant, say with value $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$, since $O \cong \mathbb{C}$. However, any morphism $p|_O : O \to V(\mathbb{C}^2; z^3 + t^2 - a)$ from the complex line to the smooth affine elliptic curve $V(\mathbb{C}^2; z^3 + t^2 - a)$ is constant, so O is contained in a p-fiber isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_m$, a contradiction. □

Proof of Proposition 3.2. By Lemma 3.5 it is enough to show show that F_-, given by $y = 0$, is not $\mathbb{G}_a$-invariant. Suppose to the contrary that F_- is $\mathbb{G}_a$-invariant; then its complement in W, given by $y \neq 0$, is $\mathbb{G}_a$-invariant as well. Since there are no non-constant invertible functions on $\mathbb{G}_a$-orbits, all $\mathbb{G}_a$-orbits in $W \setminus F_-$ are then contained in level hypersurfaces of y. In particular the hypersurface $V \subset W$ which is given by $y = 1$ is $\mathbb{G}_a$-invariant and we have $V \simeq \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid x^2 + z^3 + t^2 = 0\}$. The restriction of the $\mathbb{G}_m$-quotient projection

$$\psi := p|_V : V \to \mathbb{C}^2,\ (x, z, t) \mapsto (z, t)$$

is a two sheeted branched covering of $\mathbb{C}^2$ with branch locus $\psi^{-1}(N) \cong N$ and deck transformation

$$\sigma : V \to V,\ (x, z, t) \mapsto (-x, z, t),$$

which is simply the action of $-1 \in \mathbb{G}_m$. In particular $\sigma(O)$ is a $\mathbb{G}_a$-orbit for any $\mathbb{G}_a$-orbit O. Assume for the moment that every nontrivial $\mathbb{G}_a$-orbit intersects $\psi^{-1}(N)$ exactly once. Since the hypersurface V is a normal surface, there is a quotient map

$$\chi : V \longrightarrow V//\mathbb{G}_a,$$

the generic fiber of which is a $\mathbb{G}_a$-orbit. Thus the restriction

$$\chi|_{\psi^{-1}(N)} : \psi^{-1}(N) \longrightarrow V//\mathbb{G}_a$$

is injective on a nonempty open subset of $\psi^{-1}(N)$. Hence, $V//\mathbb{G}_a$ being a smooth curve, it follows from Zariski's main theorem that it is an open embedding. However, this is a contradiction since the cusp of the Neil parabola $\psi^{-1}(N)$ is a singular point.

Finally, any nontrivial $\mathbb{G}_a$-orbit O intersects $\psi^{-1}(N)$: otherwise $\psi|_O$ would be a non-constant morphism to an affine elliptic curve $z^3 + t^2 = a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$, which is impossible. Note that a point in $O \cap \psi^{-1}(N)$ is a common point of the two $\mathbb{G}_a$-orbits $\sigma(O)$ and O, so $\sigma(O) = O$. Choose an equivariant isomorphism $\mathbb{C} \cong O$ such that $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ corresponds to a point in $\psi^{-1}(N)$. Then the involution $\sigma : O \longrightarrow O$ corresponds to $\mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \zeta \mapsto -\zeta$, and as a consequence every nontrivial $\mathbb{G}_a$-orbit $O \hookrightarrow V$ intersects the branch locus $\psi^{-1}(N) = W^\sigma$ (the fixed point set of σ) in exactly one point. □

References

[KoRu97] Mariusz Koras and Peter Russell *On linearizing "good" $\mathbb{C}^*$-actions on $\mathbb{C}^3$*. Group actions and invariant theory (Montreal, PQ, 1988), Volume 10 of CMS Conf. Proc., pages 93–102. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.

[LML96] L. Makar-Limanov *On the hypersurface $x + x^2y + z^2 + t^3 = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}^4$ or a $\mathbb{C}^3$-like threefold which is not $\mathbb{C}^3$*. Israel Journal of Mathematics, 1996 (part B), pages 419–429.

[Ka02] Shulim Kaliman *Polynomials with general $\mathbb{C}^2$-fibers are variables.* Pacific Journal of Mathematics, volume 203, 2002, pages 161–190.

[Ka05] Shulim Kaliman *Actions on $\mathbb{C}^*$ and $\mathbb{C}_+$ on affine algebraic varieties.* Pure Math., vol. 80, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009, pp. 629–654. MR 2483949 (2010h:14099)

Isac Hedén, Mathematisches Institut, Universität Basel, Rheinsprung 21, 4051 Basel, Switzerland

E-mail address: Isac.Heden@unibas.ch